

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,011	TRULL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	R. Alexander Smith	2859	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) R. Alexander Smith. (3)_____

(2) Mark R. Woodall. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 05 October 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 32 and 36.


Identification of prior art discussed: Cannon et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


RICHARD SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

With respect to the objections to the drawings, the specification and the claims detailed in sections 1-5 of the Office action: The applicant's response has overcome these objections.

With respect to the applied rejection to claims 32 and 36 detailed in section 7 of the Office action: It appears to the examiner that the response filed September 29, 2005 overcomes the rejection. However, the examiner notes that the claims are subject to further consideration and/or search.

If upon further consideration and/or search the examiner finds the claims to be allowable, then Mr. Woodall has given permission for an Examiner's amendment to change the checkered character in line 25 on page 5 of the specification to --degrees--.